# Original Article

# The Prognosis In Ischemic Stroke Using NIHSS

#### Rahul N\*, Subramaniyan K\*\*, Sunjay P\*, Devaprasad M\*\*, Natarajan V\*\*\*

\*DM Post Graduate, \*\*Associate Prof, \*\*\*Professor & HOD, Department of Neurology, Chettinad Super Speciality Hospital, Chettinad Academy of Research & Education, Chennai, India.



Dr.Rahul Nimmakayala is pursuing his post-graduation in DM Neurology in Chettinad Hospital & Research Institute. He completed his MD General Medicine at B.T.G.H Gulbarga.

Corresponding author - Dr. Subramaniyan K (drsubra1234@yahoo.co.in)

Chettinad Health City Medical Journal 2017; 6(3): 128 - 131

# Abstract

**Introduction**: Stroke is a focal neurological deficit of sudden onset which lasts for more than 24 hours and has a vascular cause. Various prognostic indices have been used to predict the prognosis of patients with acute ischemic stroke.

Aim : The study was done to predict the prognosis of patients in ischemic stroke based on the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score on admission.

Materials and Methods : It is a prospective observational study done in Neurology teaching hospital with 100 patients

**Results** : The mean NIHSS score in patients with better outcome was 4.6 ( $\pm$  2.2) and the mean NIHSS score in patients with poor outcome was 14.16( $\pm$  7.96). The difference was statistically significant (p=0.000).

**Conclusion** : The baseline neurological status as measured by the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale score predicts the functional status at one month after acute ischemic stroke.

Key Words: Ischemic stroke, baseline NIHSS score

# Introduction

The World Health Organization defines stroke as "rapidly developing clinical symptoms and/or signs of focal, and at times global, loss of cerebral function, with symptoms lasting more than 24 hours or leading to death, with no apparent cause other than that of vascular origin<sup>1</sup>. "Most of the strokes are ischemic [87%] followed by intracerebral haemorrhage [10%] and subarachnoid haemorrhage  $[3\%]^2$ . Stroke is one of the leading cause of disability with devastating consequences. The rehabilitation of patients with stroke makes necessary the expenditure of considerable resources for a long duration. As a result, identifying factors that predict functional recovery after stroke has been the subject of much research. Various prognostic indices have been used to predict survival, length of hospital stay, functional, and neurological status. One of the factors most widely accepted and prognosticallyhelpful with class I level of evidence is baseline neurological status as measured by the National Institute of HealthStroke Scale (NIHSS)<sup>3</sup>.

# Aim

To predict the prognosis in patients with acute ischaemic stroke based on National Institute of Health Stroke Scale score on admission.

# Methodology

It is a prospective observational study done in a Neurology Teaching Hospital. There were 100patients admitted with the diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke in different wards, who were included in the study. The baseline neurological status was assessed using the NIHSS score as soon as the patient arrived in the emergency department or got admitted by the neurology resident/consultant. The diagnosis of an acute ischemic stroke was done clinically and confirmed with CT/MRI scan of the brain. These patients were treated conservatively with medical management. The patients were followed up after one month of onset of stroke in the outpatient department. Those patients who did not come for follow up were not included. The functional independence of the patients was calculated using the Modified Rankin scale.

# Stroke outcome

The most frequently used scale to assess outcome is the Modified Rankin scale  $(MRS)^4$ . It measures functional independence and is defined as the MRS score of 3, 4, or 5<sup>5</sup>.

#### **NIHSS Score**

The NIHSS is considered to be valid, reliable, and reproducible<sup>6-8</sup> which is most widely and commonly used. The scale (Table 1) consists of eleven clinical items adding up to a total score of o to 42. The baseline neuro-logical status is classified as follows<sup>9</sup>:

- Normal/near normal examination (o)
- Minor stroke (1-4)
- Moderate stroke (5-14)
- Moderate-severe stroke (15-20)
- Severe stroke (>20)

Studies have -established that the NIHSS scores on admission are associated with chronic functional outcome<sup>10</sup> and with stroke severity<sup>11</sup>.

1a-Level of	-alert	0
consciousness[LOC]-Arousal	-drowsy	1
status	-obtunded[stupor]	2
	-comatose	3
1b-LOC-guestions-	-answers both questions	0
month	-answers only one question	1
ade	-does not answers any one question	2
as I OC commande	follows both commands correctly	~
ic-LOC-commands-	-ronows both commands correctly	
opens / closes eyes	-rollows only one command	1
open/closes the hands	correctly	2
	-neither of he commands are	
	followed	
2-Eye movements-Horizontal	-normal	0
eye movements	-mild gaze palsy	1
	-complete gaze paralysis	2
3-Visual field-sees objects in four	-normal	0
quadrants	-partial hemianopia[upper or lower]	æ
	-complete hemianopia[both upper	2
	and lower]	
	-bilateral hemianopia[total	3
	blindness]	
4-Facial-facial movements	normal	0
	minor paralysis	3
	partial paralysis	2
	complete paralysis	3
	M 51 39	1
s a-Motor-left arm	-normal-no drift	0
2	-drift-drift downwards but not to	
	hed before s seconds	~
	-drift to bed within a rec	5
	sum to bed within 5 sec	- 10 20
	-movement, but not against gravity	3
	-complete paralysis-no movement	4
5	at all,	
5 b-Motor-right arm	-normal-no drift	0
	-drift-drift downwards but not to	1
	bed before 5 seconds	
	-drift to bed within 5 sec	2
	-movement, but not against gravity	3
	-complete paralysis-no movement	4
	at all.	
6 a-Motor-left leg	-normal-no drift	0
	-drift -drift downwards but not to	1
	bed before 5 seconds	
	-drift to bed within 5 sec	2
	-movement, but not against gravity	3
	-complete paralysis-no movement	4
	at all.	92
6 b-Motor-right leg	-normal-no drift	0
	-drift-drift downwards but not to	1
	hed before a seconds	20
	-drift to bed within a sec	2
	amovement but not against accult	1
	complete preducts an answer of	5
	-complete paralysis-no movement	4
7-Limb ataxia	absent	0
	present in one limb	1
	present in two or more limbs	2
8-Sensory	Normal or no sensory loss	0
	mild-moderate sensory loss	31
	severe to total sensory loss	2

9-Language/aphasia	Normal	0
	mild-moderate	1
	severe	2
	mute	3
10-Dysarthria/slurred speech	None	0
	mild -moderate slurred speech	31) 
	severe slurred speech	2
11-Neglect	No abnormality	0
	mild abnormality-either visual or	1
	tactile-partial neglect	
	profound abnormality-visual and	2
	tactile~complete neglect	
		1
Table 1 - The NIH S	troke Scale	

#### Results

The total number of patients included in the study was 100. The age range of the patients was from 18 to 89 years (Mean was  $61.46 \pm 16.3$ ). Male patients numbered 58(58%) and female patients numbered 42 (42%). (Fig 1)



The frequencies and percentages of various comorbidities in the patients were as shown in the following table (Table 2).

Comorbidities	Frequency (n=100)	Percentage
Diabetes	54	54
Hypertension	67	67
Atrial fibrillation	15	15
Ischemic heart disease	11	11
No comorbidities	4	4

**Table 2 -** Comorbidities in the patients with ischemicstroke.

The mean NIHSS score was 12.87  $(\pm 8.1)$ .

The distribution of the NIHSS score among the patients is shown in Fig 2.

#### Mild (<5)-16% Moderate (5-14)-52% Moderately- severe[15-20]-14% Severe[>20]-18%



The functional outcome of the patients at one month was measured with the Modified Rankin Scale (MRS). The mean MRS score was  $3.58 (\pm 1.5)$ . The distribution of the Modified Rankin Scale scores among the patients is as shown in the following Fig 3.



The statistical significance of the impact of NIHSS on outcomes of patients at one month based on the MRS score are shown in the following table (Table 3).

	Good Outcome	BadOutcome	p-Value (t-test)
Mean NIHSS	4.6 ± 2.2	14.16 ± 7.96	0.000

 Table 3 - Statistical significance of impact of NIHSS on outcome.

The statistical significance of the categories of strokeseverities based on NIHSS scores are as shown in the following Table 4.

Stroke Soverity (NIHSS Soores)	Good Outcome	Bad Outcome	p-value (Chi-square test)
Mild (<5)	12	7	0.000
Moderate (5-14)	11	36	
Moderately Severe (15-20)	ø	чó	
Severe(>20)	ð	18	

**Table 4 -** Statistical significance of NIHSSsub-divisions on outcome

#### Discussion

The mean NIHSS score was 12.87 in this study. This score indicates moderate stroke severity at stroke onset. Mild stroke severity (NIHSS less than 5) was present in 16% of the patients, moderate stroke severity (NIHSS 5-14) was present in majority (52%) of the patients, moderately severe stroke severity (NIHSS 15-20) was present in 14% of the patients and severe stroke severity (NIHSS more than 20) was present in 18% of the patients (Fig 2). The mean MRS score at one month post discharge was 3.58, which means that the patients are dependent to the caregiver in such a way that they are in between requiring some help but able to walk without assistance and unable to walk without assistance and unable to attend to own bodilyneeds without assistance. The majority of patients had the MRS score of 3(33.5%) (Fig 3). This score includes patients who require some help with their activities but able to walk without any assistance. Fully independent patients (MRS o-2) and fully dependent patients (MRS 4 and 5)both amounted to 24% each (Fig 3). Thirty seven patients(18.5%, MRS score 6) died within one month of onset of stroke. It can be seen in Table 2 that the mean NIHSS score is strongly associated with functional outcome based on MRS scoring at one month. In addition, it can be seen inTable 3 that none of the patients with moderately severe and severe strokes according to the NIHSS score had good outcome. This table also shows that the less the NIHSS score, the better the outcome and the associations are strongly statistically significant. In our study, the mean NIHSS score associated with good outcome is 4.6 and that associated with bad outcome is 14.16. The figures are almost similar and do serve as cut-off points to predict the prognosis as the chances of becoming dependent or independent were statistically significant (Table 2). Although the NIHSS is the most widely used scoring system in patients with stroke and is highly predictive of chronic outcome, it has a potential weakness with respect to uneven scoring of lesion-specific neurologic deficits. The scale is highly weighted toward anterior circulation deficits, including cortical signs and motor function, while posterior circulation deficits, including cranial nerve signs and ataxia, receive fewer points. Thus, NIHSS may not appropriately evaluate the spectrum of posterior circulation-related signs. The study is supported by a study conducted by Denis Sablot et al which concluded that low and high NIHSS are effective positive predictive values for good and poor outcomes<sup>12</sup>. This study is further supported by a study conducted by Gajurel BP et al which concluded that the baseline neurological status as measured by the

National Institute of Health Stroke Scale score predicts the functional status at one month after acute ischemic stroke<sup>13</sup>. The study conducted by Adams et al concluded that the NIHSS score strongly predicts the likelihood of a patient's recovery after stroke and score of  $\geq 16$  forecasts a high probability of death or severe disability whereas a score of  $\leq 6$  forecasts a good recovery<sup>14</sup>.

# Conclusion

The baseline neurological status as measured by the NIHSS score predicts the functional status at one month after acute ischemic stroke. The lower the NIHSS score, the chances of becoming dependent is lower and the higher NIHSS score, the chances of becoming dependent is higher.

#### References

- Hatano S. Experience from a multicentre stroke register: a preliminary report. Bull World Health Organ. 1976; 54(5):541-53
- Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Borden WB, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2013 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2013; 127(1): e6-e245.
- 3) Veerbeek JM, Kwakkel G, van Wagen EEH, Ket JCF, Heymans MW. Early prediction of activities of daily living after stroke: a systematic review. Stroke. 2011;42(5):1482-8.
- Rankin J. Cerebral vascular accidents in patients over the age of 60. II. Prognosis. Scott Med J. 1957;2(5): 200-15.
- 5) Bamford J, Sandercock P, Dennis M, Burn J, Warlow C. A prospective study of acute cerebrovascular disease in the community: the Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project—1981-1986. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1990; 53(1):16-22.
- Brott T, Adams Jr. HP, Olinger CP, Marler JR, Barsan WG, Biller J, et al. Measurements of acute cerebral infarction: a clinical examination scale. Stroke. 1989;20(7): 864–70.

- Goldstein LB, Bertels C, Davis JN. Interrater reliability of the NIH Stroke Scale. Arch Neurol. 1989; 46(6): 660–2.
- Lyden P, Brott T, Tilley B, Welch KM, Mascha EJ, Levine S, et al. Improved reliability of the NIH Stroke Scale using video training. NINDS TPA Stroke Study Group. Stroke. 1994; 25(11): 2220-6.
- 9) Mack W, Dusick JR, Martin N, Gonzalez N. Principles of endovascular therapy. In: Daroff RB, Fenichel GM, Jankovich J, Mazziotta JC, editors. Bradley's neurology in clinical practice. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders. 2012: 828-52.
- 10) Adams Jr. HP, Davis PH, Leira EC, Chang KC, Bendixen BH, Clarke WR, et al. Baseline NIH Stroke Scale score strongly predicts outcome after stroke:a report of the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment. Neurology. 1999; 53(1):126-31.
- 11) Schlegel D, Kolb SJ, Luciano JM, Tovar JM, Cucchiara BL, Liebeskind DS, et al. Utility of the NIH Stroke Scale as a predictor of hospital disposition. Stroke. 2003; 34(1): 134–7.
- 12) Sablot D1, Belahsen F, Vuillier F, Cassarini JF, Decavel P, Tatu L, et al. Predicting Acute Ischaemic Stroke Outcome Using Clinical and Temporal Thresholds. ISRN Neurol. 2011;2011:354642.
- 13) Gajurel BP, Dhungana K, Parajuli P, Karn R, Rajbhandari R, Kafle D, Oli KK. The National Institute of Health Stroke Scale Score and Outcome in Acute Ischemic Stroke. Journal of Institute of Medicine. 2014; 36(3):9-13.
- 14) Adams HP Jr1, Davis PH, Leira EC, Chang KC, Bendixen BH, Clarke WR et al.Baseline NIH Stroke Scale score strongly predicts outcome after stroke. Neurology. 1999;53(1)126-31.